

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,676	08/29/2003	Fumihiko Shimizu	241648US0CONT	7762
22850	50 7590 10/03/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			RABAGO, ROBERTO	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
	,		1713	
•			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	$\lambda \omega$
L	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/650,676	SHIMIZU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roberto Rábago	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	ılv 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
· ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u></u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/650,676

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 5 is objected to because the word "wherein" is repeated in line 2.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is indefinite because the phrase "the polymer is propylene" makes no sense.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by JP 2002-47314.

Page 2

The reference discloses polypropylene made using metallocene catalyst and having the claimed NMR values and insertion errors (Examples 1 and 2). Regarding claims 12 and 13, these unreported properties would appear to be inherent because polypropylene with the stated tacticity would be expected to have solubility within the claimed ranges. The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to show that the reference polypropylene does not have the claimed unreported solubility.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

5. Claims 1-6 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minami et al. (WO99/67303). In view of the facts that the WO document is in the Japanese language and is exceedingly bulky (345 pages), the equivalent US patent which issued from the PCT application will be used herein (US 6,906,155). Should applicants require a copy of the WO document, they should make a request in the response to this Office action.

The reference discloses polypropylene made using metallocene catalyst and having the claimed NMR values and insertion errors (Table III-1, Comparative Example 2). Although the reference has not reported Mw, this property would appear to be inherent because applicants have claimed virtually the entire range of conventional Mw values for polypropylene polymers. Regarding claims 12 and 13, these unreported properties would appear to be inherent because polypropylene with the stated tacticity

Application/Control Number: 10/650,676

Art Unit: 1713

would be expected to have heptane solubility within the claimed ranges. Regarding claim 4, the reference has not reported the array of NMR peaks required in the claim; however, this property would appear to be inherent because the reference polypropylene has the claimed values of S5, S6, and insertion errors. The burden of proof is shifted to applicants to show that the reference polypropylene does not have the claimed unreported properties.

Page 4

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberto Rábago whose telephone number is (571) 272-1109. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roberto Rábago

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1713